UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

SHEILA RUDOLPH,)
	Plaintiff,)
VS.) 1:11-cv-1164-JMS-DKL
ROBERT RIFKIN,)
	Defendant.)

Entry Concerning Selected Matters

The court, having considered the above action and the matters which are pending, makes the following rulings:

- 1. The plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis is **granted [dkt**. 2].
- 2. A district court possesses only the jurisdiction conferred to it by Congress. See South Carolina v. Katzenbach, 383 U.S. 301 (1966).

Congress has conferred subject matter jurisdiction on the district courts only in cases that raise a federal question and cases in which there is diversity of citizenship among the parties. See 28 U.S.C. '' 1331-32.

Smart v. Local 702 Intern. Broth. of Elec. Workers, 562 F.3d 798, 802 (7th Cir. 2009). The plaintiff shall have through September 9, 2011, in which to supplement her complaint by setting forth the basis of this court-s jurisdiction over her claims.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Indianapolis, IN 46228

Date: 09/01/2011	
	0 m 1-8.
Distribution:	an rijoanis mson
Sheila Rudolph 5890 Cooper Rd.	Hon. Jane Magnus-Stinson, Judge United States District Court
	Southern District of Indiana